UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,438	04/27/2006	Takuji Yoshimoto	0171-1271PUS1	3422	
	7590 04/01/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 747	OH MA 22040 0747	SOLOLA, TAOFIQ A			
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1625		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/01/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers		10/577,43	38	YOSHIMOTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			,	Art Unit				
		Taofiq A.		1625				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
	nsive to communication(s) filed o	n 10 February 20	na					
•	_							
<i>′</i> =	<del></del>							
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
ciosed	in accordance with the practice t	ilidel Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 40	03 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of C	laims							
4)∏ Claim(s	s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	s) <u>1-6, 12-15</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	s) is/are objected to.							
· <u> </u>	· <del></del>	. and/an alastian m	- a i u a u a a u t					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Pap	ers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
	•		Objected to by the I	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Notice of Draft     Information Discrete	rences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-sclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ail Date	948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 1-15 are pending in this application.

Claims 7-11 are drawn to non-elected inventions.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakayama et al., Heterocyclics, (1987) Vol. 26(4), pp. 939-942.

Nakayama et al., disclose the instant compounds. See compounds 4, 8, 12, 15 and 15'. In the 3<sup>rd</sup> to last line of claim 1, the S may be SO. Using them in charge transport film, or varnish is inherent property of the compounds. Also, intended use is not a limitation of a compound or product. *In re Hack*, 114USPQ 161 (CCPA, 1957); *In re Craig*, 90 USPQ 33 (CCPA, 1951); *In re Brenner*, 82 USPQ 49 (CCPA, 1949).

## Response to Argument

Applicant's arguments filed 2/19/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant amended claim 1, wherein q is 1 or more. This is not persuasive because when q is 1; R1-R4 are each H; X and Y are each thiophene; and p and r are each 1 or 2, the invention is the same as compounds 4, 8, 12, 15 and 15' by the prior art. Adding q, p, r, together is less than 10 or 20 in each compound. Applicant further contends the prior art fails to disclose the claimed properties of the compounds. This is not persuasive because under the US patent practice such are inherent properties of the prior art's compounds. Though they are not disclosed by the prior art, the compounds are still unpatentable. Applicant also argues the prior art's compounds are disclosed as intermediates. This argument relates to obviousness

Art Unit: 1625

rejection wherein using a compound as intermediates is not sufficient reason for modification of the compound under 35 USC 103. Therefore, the rejection of the claims under 35 USC is now withdrawn.

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taofiq A. Solola, PhD. JD., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0709.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, can be reached on (571) 272-0867. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,438

Art Unit: 1625

/Taofiq A. Solola/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625

Page 4

March 25, 2009